# **Crystallization Mechanism of Cometary Grains**

# T. Yamamoto & T. Chigai Nagoya University

### Detection of crystalline silicate feature in C/Halley



(Campins and Ryan 1989, Bregman et al. 1987) Similar features have been observed in several comets.

## Crystalline silicate in various kinds of objects

#### Hanner 1999, 2003

- 1. Observed in
  - evolved stars
    - C-rich giant star, post-AGB star
  - YSOs at a late stage & young MS stars
    - $\circ$  Herbig Ae/Be stars &  $\beta\text{-Pic}$
  - comets
  - ZL dust (Honda et al. 2003)
  - IDPs
- 2. Not observed in
  - ISM & molecular clouds
  - YSOs at an early stage



(Molster et al. 1999)

## Characteristics of crystalline silicate

- Similar features
- Variety of objects: hot & cool

# Origin of cometary silicate

Mixture of amorphous & crystalline silicates

- 1. amorphous: interstellar dust survived in the solar nebula
- 2. crystalline: annealing or condensation in the solar nebula
  - Need high temperature

# Origin of cometary silicate

Mixture of amorphous & crystalline silicates

- 1. amorphous: interstellar dust survived in the solar nebula
- 2. crystalline: annealing or condensation in the solar nebula
  - Need high temperature

Present model:

- "Cool" crystallization mechanism
- Need not mixing

# Greenberg's model of cometary dust



silicate core + organic refractory (OR) + icy mantle

## Crystallization mechanism

1. Moderate heating of dust by solar radiation

- Dust temperature (300 500 K) is not enough for silicate crystallization but enough for icy mantle sublimation
- 2. Trigger chain chemical reactions in the OR
- 3. Energy released by the reactions heats the silicate core.
  - $E_{\rm r} \sim {
    m several}$  to  $10\,{
    m eV} \sim 10^5\,{
    m K}$

4. Lead to partial crystallization of the silicate core.

Chemical heating model





#### **Basic** equations

1. Degree of crystallinity (Haruyama et al. 1993)

$$rac{\partial f_{
m c}}{\partial t} = rac{1-f_{
m c}}{t_{
m c}} = rac{1-f_{
m c}}{A} e^{-E_{
m c}/kT}$$

•  $t_{\rm c} = A e^{E_{\rm c}/kT}$ : crystallization time scale

- $E_{\rm c}$ : activation energy of crystallization
- $E_{\rm c} = 3.5 \, {\rm eV}$  for amorphous ol. and px. (Kimura et al. 2002)  $A = 10^{-12}$  to  $10^{-13} \, {\rm s}$
- 2. Temperature in the silicate core

$$rac{\partial T}{\partial t} - rac{\chi}{r^2} rac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 rac{\partial T}{\partial r} 
ight) = rac{H_{
m c}}{
ho c_{
m p}} rac{\partial f_{
m c}}{\partial t}$$

•  $H_c$ : Latent heat of crystallization per unit volume

### Initial condition

Instantaneous heat source on the silicate core surface

• Duration of heating of the surface due to reactions is short enough.

### Temperature in the silicate core



Substantial temperature increase near the core surface

# Key parameter of crystallization

$$heta_0 = rac{k\Omega}{\mu m_{
m H} c_{
m p}} rac{n_{
m r} h_{
m r}}{(\chi A)^{1/2}} rac{E_{
m r}}{E_{
m c}}$$

 $\propto n_{
m r}$ : density of reactive molecules in OR

# $\theta$ -value determines crystallization degree

- crystallized volume  $\nearrow$  as  $\theta_0 \nearrow$
- Possible values of  $\theta_0$  (Greenberg particle)

$$heta_0 = 0.6 ext{ to } 6 ext{ for } n_{
m r} = 10^{21} ext{ for } 10^{22} \, {
m cm}^{-3}$$

### Volume fraction of crystalline region



- Substantial crystallization near the core surface for  $\theta_0 > 1$
- ullet volume fraction: 2  $40\,\%$  for  $n_{
  m r}=10^{21}$   $10^{22}\,{
  m cm}^{-3}$

Does the crystalline fraction explain the observed spectra?

#### 1. Calculations of the spectra

- $\bullet$  individual grain: am. core + xtal. mantle structure
- Spectra of aggregates: MG in the Rayleigh regime
- varying volume fraction of the crystalline region
- 2. Optical const (n, k) data for Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>
  - amorphous: Scott & Duley 1996
  - crystalline: Sogawa et al. 1999

#### Spectra vs volume fraction of crystalline forsterite



### Comparison with observed spectrum

- Crystalline volume fraction f of 10 20% can reproduce the observed crystalline feature.
  - $\circ$  f-value: within the range expected from the chemical heating model
  - $\circ$  best fit:  $f\simeq 15\,\%$
- Detailed fitting is another problem.
  - $\circ$  mixing pyroxene & other materials
  - $\circ$  varying Mg/Fe ratio
  - $\circ$  grain size distribution
  - o particle shape

### Conclusions

- 1. Chemical heating mechanism works.
  - Crystallization degree (~ 15 %) needed to explain the observed spectra can be realized.
- 2. Chemical heating model
  - Need not high temperature and mixing of amorphous & crystalline silicate
  - Preserve ices of interstellar composition
  - Applicable to crystallization in protoplanetary disks & other objects
  - Amount of energy deposition in the OR is a key quantity.



Figure 1: Effect of the outer organic mantle on the spectrum. The blue curve shows Q/a of a small sphere composed of an amorphous forsterite core and a crystalline forsterite mantle, and the red one shows Q/a adding an organic outer mantle. Optical constant data of the organic mantle are adopted from Greenberg & Li (1996) A&A 309, 258.



Figure 2: Q/a for amorphous core-crystalline mantle silicate small spheres. Amorphous forsterite (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>) from Scott & Duley (1996) ApJS 105, 401 core- crystalline olivine (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>) from Sogawa et al. (1999) ISAS LPS 32, 179 mantle grains.